

<p>IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM</p> <p>OF KATHLEEN NOEL,</p> <p>CLAIMANT</p> <p>AGAINST THE MARYLAND HOME</p> <p>IMPROVEMENT GUARANTY FUND</p> <p>FOR THE ALLEGED ACTS OR</p> <p>OMISSIONS OF PHILIP CALVERT,</p> <p>T/A CALVERT QUALITY</p> <p>CONSTRUCTION,</p> <p>RESPONDENT</p>	<p>* BEFORE TRACEY JOHNS DELP,</p> <p>* AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE</p> <p>* OF THE MARYLAND OFFICE</p> <p>* OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS</p> <p>*</p> <p>*</p> <p>*</p> <p>* OAH No.: LABOR-HIC-02-19-28994</p> <p>* MHIC No.: 18 (75) 1116</p> <p>*</p>
---	---

* * * * *

PROPOSED DECISION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
ISSUES
SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE
PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT
DISCUSSION
PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
RECOMMENDED ORDER

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On March 8, 2019, Kathleen Noel (Claimant) filed a claim (Claim) with the Maryland Home Improvement Commission (MHIC) Guaranty Fund (Fund), under the jurisdiction of the Department of Labor (Department),¹ for reimbursement of \$1,002.00 in actual losses allegedly suffered as a result of a home improvement contract with Philip Calvert, trading as Calvert Quality Construction (Respondent). Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401 through 8-411 (2015 &

¹ On July 1, 2019, the Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation became the Department of Labor.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LIBRARY

100 EAST SOUTH EAST STREET, CHICAGO, ILL. 60607

TEL: 773-936-3700 FAX: 773-936-3701

WWW.CHICAGO.LIBRARY.EDU

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

CHICAGO LIBRARY

Supp. 2020).² On August 30, 2019, the MHIC forwarded the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for a hearing.

I held a remote hearing on September 28, 2020³ via WebEx video conferencing. Bus. Reg. § 8-407(e); Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 28.02.01.20B(1)(b). Justin Dunbar, Assistant Attorney General, represented the Fund. The Claimant represented herself. On August 27, 2020, a notice of the hearing was mailed to the Respondent at his address of record by regular and certified mail, COMAR 09.08.03.03A(2), and was not returned as unclaimed or undeliverable, or for any other reason. The Respondent did not notify the OAH of any change of address. COMAR 28.02.01.03E. On September 14, 2020, the Respondent filed a request to postpone the September 28, 2020 hearing because his parents may be witnesses and they were purportedly not in possession of video conferencing technology. On September 15, 2020, I denied the Respondent's request, instead permitting his parents to provide testimony via telephone. COMAR 28.02.01.20(B)(1)(a).⁴ Applicable law permits me to proceed with a hearing in a party's absence if that party fails to attend after receiving proper notice. COMAR 28.02.01.23A. On September 28, 2020, after waiting at least fifteen minutes for the Respondent or the Respondent's representative to appear remotely, I determined that the Respondent received proper notice and failed to appear; I proceeded to hear the captioned matter.⁵ *Id.* The contested case provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Department's hearing regulations, and the Rules of Procedure of the OAH govern procedure in this case. Md. Code

² Unless otherwise noted, all references to the Business Regulation Article herein cite the 2015 Replacement Volume of the Maryland Annotated Code.

³ Due to a scheduling error, a hearing date of April 2, 2020 was rescheduled to April 8, 2020. The April 8, 2020 hearing date was administratively postponed due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

⁴ In response to my ruling, the Respondent's parents promptly provided their telephone numbers in the event they were called upon to testify.

⁵ The hearing concluded on September 28, 2020; however, I held the record open until October 2, 2020 for receipt of Claimant Exhibits 28 and 29, which were received at the OAH on September 29, 2020.

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

... the ... of ...

Ann., State Gov't §§ 10-201 through 10-226 (2014 & Supp. 2020); COMAR 09.01.03; and COMAR 28.02.01.

ISSUES

1. Did the Claimant sustain an actual loss compensable by the Fund as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions?
2. If so, what is the amount of the compensable loss?

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

Exhibits

I admitted the following exhibits on the Claimant's behalf:

- Clmt. Ex. 1 - Text messages between the Claimant and Respondent, various dates
- Clmt. Ex. 2 - Letter from MHIC to Complaint, May 11, 2018; Complaint form, with attachments, April 12, 2018
- Clmt. Ex. 3 - Photograph of base molding, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 4 - Letter from MHIC to Respondent, April 25, 2018; Respondent's Response to Complaint, with attachment, May 2, 2018
- Clmt. Ex. 5 - Claimant's reply to Respondent's response, with attachments, May 18, 2018
- Clmt. Ex. 6 - Photograph of back living room wall, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 7 - Photograph of wall outside powder room, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 8 - Photograph of stairwell ceiling, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 9 - Photograph of doorframe (upper floor landing), undated
- Clmt. Ex. 10 - Photograph of master bedroom doorframe, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 11 - Photograph of stairs with masking tape, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 12 - Photograph of primed stair railing, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 13 - Photograph of trim outside powder room, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 14 - Photograph of paint drips on living room wall, undated

RECORDS

1910-1911

1912-1913

1914-1915

1916-1917

1918-1919

1920-1921

1922-1923

1924-1925

1926-1927

1928-1929

1930-1931

1932-1933

1934-1935

1936-1937

1938-1939

1940-1941

1942-1943

1944-1945

1946-1947

1948-1949

1950-1951

1952-1953

- Clmt. Ex. 15 - Photograph of flaws in living room wall and ceiling, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 16 - Eight photographs of roller brush marks on living room wall, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 17 - USB flash drive
- Clmt. Ex. 18 - Photograph of paint streaks on living room wall, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 19 - Photograph of uneven paint markings, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 20 - Photograph of uneven paint markings, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 21 - Four photographs of rooms with blue tape markings, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 22 - Not Admitted
- Clmt. Ex. 23 - Letter from MHIC to Respondent, March 18, 2019; Respondent's Response, March 21, 2019
- Clmt. Ex. 24 - Text messages between the Claimant and Respondent's father, various dates
- Clmt. Ex. 25 - Check No. 1485; Check No. 1490; Lowe's Receipt dated February 13, 2018; Check No. 1498
- Clmt. Ex. 26 - Cover letter from Complainant to MHIC, with claim form and attachments, March 3, 2019
- Clmt. Ex. 27 - Emails between the Complainant and the Respondent's father, March 24-25, 2018
- Clmt. Ex. 28 - Contract, Wayne's painting and Home Improvement, undated⁶
- Clmt. Ex. 29 - Check No. 1635⁷

The Respondent did not appear at the hearing to submit any exhibits.

I admitted the following exhibits on behalf of the Fund:

- Fund Ex. 1 - Hearing order, August 27, 2019
- Fund Ex. 2 - Notice of September 28, 2020 Hearing, August 27, 2020
- Fund Ex. 3 - Letter from MHIC to Respondent, March 18, 2019, and Home Improvement Claim Form, received March 8, 2019

⁶ The hearing concluded on September 28, 2020; however, I held the record open until October 2, 2020 for receipt of Claimant Exhibit 28 (which was received at the OAH on September 29, 2020).

⁷ The hearing concluded on September 28, 2020; however, I held the record open until October 2, 2020 for receipt of Claimant Exhibit 29 (which was received at the OAH on September 29, 2020).

Testimony

The Claimant testified and did not present the testimony of any other witnesses. The Respondent did not appear at the hearing to testify or present the testimony of any other witnesses. The Fund did not present the testimony of any witnesses.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

1. At all times relevant to the subject of this hearing, the Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor under MHIC license number 01-69028. (Fund Ex. 4.)

2. The Claimant is not related to the Respondent or any of his employees, by blood or marriage.

3. The Claimant was the owner of the subject property, located in LaPlata, Maryland.⁸

4. The Claimant has not filed any other claims against the Respondent outside of these proceedings.

5. On February 6, 2018, the Claimant and the Respondent entered into a written Contract for the Respondent to perform the following work for \$3,000.00:

- Sand and prep all walls/ceiling on 1st floor to extend into stairwell on 2nd floor
- Prime (as required) and paint 1st floor to include kitchen, living room and stairwell to 2nd floor
- Paint to be used will be flat for walls and ceiling white for ceiling. Satin white for trim
- Remove stairwell knee wall located on landing between 1st and 2nd floor (trim, caulk and paint) NO RAILING
- Install 2 ft slip jamb door and approx. 20' of base trim including painting – door and trim to be provided by customer
- Remove all debris at completion of job

(Clmt. Ex. 2.)

⁸ The Claimant has since sold the property and relocated.

1941

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

6. On February 6, 2018, the Claimant paid the Respondent \$1,500.00.
7. On or about February 8, 2018, the Respondent began work; the Claimant was immediately dissatisfied with its quality.
8. The Respondent purchased and installed base trim that did not match base trim within the house.
9. The Respondent left dried roller brush streaks and paint drips on the walls. There were areas too lightly covered on the walls where the paint was spotty and not evenly applied. Brush strokes near the trim were highly visible. Patchwork was sloppy.
10. On February 14, 2018, the Claimant paid the Respondent \$265.00.
11. On February 20, 2018, the Claimant paid the Respondent \$652.41.
12. On February 27, 2018, the Claimant inquired when the Respondent would return to correct areas of concern. It was the last time the Respondent communicated directly with the Claimant.
13. On February 28, 2018, the Respondent's father came to the residence and used pieces of blue tape to mark the Claimant's areas of concern; approximately seventy pieces of tape were used. Although he began work on the Respondent's behalf, he left the job incomplete.
14. Incomplete work included: thinly painted areas, paint drips and streaks on walls; poorly patched walls; masking tape was left above cabinets and on the staircase; and staircase trim was left unpainted.
15. The Respondent's father contacted the Claimant on the Respondent's behalf and requested the balance of the Contract and additional money although Contract work remained unfinished and the Claimant remained dissatisfied.

0100012 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000

16. The Claimant contracted with Wayne's Painting and Home Improvement for \$1,300.00 to repair and complete the Respondent's scope of work.⁹

DISCUSSION

In this case, the Claimant has the burden of proving the validity of the Claim by a preponderance of the evidence. Bus. Reg. § 8-407(e)(1); State Gov't §10-217; COMAR 09.08.03.03A(3). "[A] preponderance of the evidence means such evidence which, when considered and compared with the evidence opposed to it, has more convincing force and produces . . . a belief that it is more likely true than not true." *Coleman v. Anne Arundel Cty. Police Dep't*, 369 Md. 108, 125 n.16 (2002) (quoting *Maryland Pattern Jury Instructions* 1:7 (3d ed. 2000)).

An owner may recover compensation from the Fund "for an actual loss that results from an act or omission by a licensed contractor." Bus. Reg. § 8-405(a); *see also* COMAR 09.08.03.03B(2) ("actual losses . . . incurred as a result of misconduct by a licensed contractor"). "[A]ctual loss' means the costs of restoration, repair, replacement, or completion that arise from an unworkmanlike, inadequate, or incomplete home improvement." Bus. Reg. § 8-401. "The [MHIC] may deny a claim if the [MHIC] finds that the claimant unreasonably rejected good faith efforts by the contractor to resolve the claim. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(d). For the following reasons, I find that the Claimant has proven eligibility for compensation.

The Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor at the time he entered into the Contract with the Claimant. The Claimant immediately realized the Respondent's poor quality of work and requested corrections; her photographs clearly depict the Respondent's unworkmanlike, inadequate, and incomplete home improvement. Despite the Respondent's

⁹ The contract price was \$1,700.00; however, the Claimant testified that the price included \$400.00 which was not part of the Respondent's scope of work.

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

11. The eleventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

12. The twelfth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

father's efforts to correct his son's work, problems remained. The Respondent left dried roller brush streaks and paint drips on the walls. There were areas of the walls too lightly covered with paint, where the paint was spotty and unevenly applied. Brush strokes near the trim were highly visible. Patchwork where the Respondent attempted to fill in drywall holes where curtain rods had once been was sloppy and unworkmanlike. Thereafter, through his father, the Respondent demanded the balance owed on the Contract and more money, but the staircase bannister was primed and never painted, masking tape was left above cabinets and on the staircase, and the staircase trim was left unpainted. After consideration of the Claimant's testimony and documentary evidence, I conclude that the Respondent's work was unworkmanlike, inadequate, and incomplete.

Exhibits offered by the Claimant contain the Respondent's responses to the MHIC. In his responses, the Respondent alleged that the Claimant rejected his good faith efforts to resolve the claim. I agree with the Fund's position as set forth in its closing argument that the Respondent did not demonstrate sufficient good faith. From start to abandonment, the Respondent's work was unworkmanlike. Nonetheless, he demanded final payment under the Contract and argued for additional money. Thus, the Respondent's position that the Claimant rejected his good faith efforts is hollow and without merit.

Accordingly, the Claimant is eligible for compensation from the Fund and I must now determine the amount of the Claimant's actual loss and the amount, if any, that the Claimant is entitled to recover. The Fund may not compensate a claimant for consequential or punitive damages, personal injury, attorney fees, court costs, or interest. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(3); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(1). MHIC's regulations provide three formulas to measure a claimant's actual loss, depending on the status of the contract work. In this case, the Respondent performed work under the Contract, and the Claimant retained Wayne's Painting and Home Improvement to

Faint, illegible text spanning the page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side. The text is arranged in approximately 15 horizontal lines.

remedy that work.¹⁰ The Fund recommended applying COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c), and I concur. Accordingly, the following formula appropriately measures the Claimant's actual loss:

If the contractor did work according to the contract and the claimant has solicited or is soliciting another contractor to complete the contract, the claimant's actual loss shall be the amounts the claimant has paid to or on behalf of the contractor under the original contract, added to any reasonable amounts the claimant has paid or will be required to pay another contractor to repair poor work done by the original contractor under the original contract and complete the original contract, less the original contract price. If the Commission determines that the original contract price is too unrealistically low or high to provide a proper basis for measuring actual loss, the Commission may adjust its measurement accordingly.

COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c).

The calculations when applying this formula are as follows:

Amount paid to Respondent:	\$2,417.41
Amount paid to Wayne's Painting and Home Improvement to repair unworkmanlike, inadequate, and incomplete work done by Respondent	+ \$1,300.00
	= \$3,717.41
Original Contract price	- \$3,000.00
Claimant's Actual Loss under the Contract	= \$717.41

The Business Regulation Article caps a claimant's recovery at \$20,000.00 for acts or omissions of one contractor and provides that a claimant may not recover more than the amount paid to the contractor against whom the claim is filed. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(1), (5); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(4), D(2)(a). In this case, the Claimant's actual loss is less than the amount paid to the Respondent and less than \$20,000.00. Therefore, the Claimant is entitled to recover her actual loss of \$717.41.

¹⁰ The Claimant testified without impeachment that Wayne's Painting and Home Improvement performs licensed home improvement.

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

11. The eleventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

12. The twelfth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

13. The thirteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

14. The fourteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

15. The fifteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

16. The sixteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

17. The seventeenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

18. The eighteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

19. The nineteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

20. The twentieth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

21. The twenty-first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

22. The twenty-second part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

23. The twenty-third part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

24. The twenty-fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

25. The twenty-fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

26. The twenty-sixth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

27. The twenty-seventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

28. The twenty-eighth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

29. The twenty-ninth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

30. The thirtieth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I conclude that the Claimant has sustained an actual and compensable loss \$717.41 as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401, 8-405 (2015 & Supp. 2020). I further conclude that the Claimant is entitled to recover \$717.41 from the Maryland Home Improvement Commission Guaranty Fund. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(5) (2015 & Supp. 2020); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c).

RECOMMENDED ORDER

I **RECOMMEND** that the Maryland Home Improvement Commission:

ORDER that the Maryland Home Improvement Guaranty Fund award the Claimant \$717.41; and

ORDER that the Respondent is ineligible for a Maryland Home Improvement Commission license until the Respondent reimburses the Guaranty Fund for all monies disbursed under this Order, plus annual interest of ten percent (10%) as set by the Maryland Home Improvement Commission;¹¹ and

ORDER that the records and publications of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission reflect this decision.

November 17, 2020
Date Decision Issued

CONFIDENTIAL

Tracey Johns Delp
Administrative Law Judge

TJD/da
#188548

¹¹ See Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-410(a)(1)(iii) (2015); COMAR 09.08.01.20.

The first part of the document is a general introduction to the project. It describes the objectives and the scope of the work. The second part is a detailed description of the methodology used in the study. This includes a discussion of the data collection methods and the statistical techniques employed.

The results of the study are presented in the third part of the document. This section includes a series of tables and graphs that illustrate the findings. The final part of the document is a conclusion that summarizes the main results and discusses their implications for future research.

In conclusion, the study has shown that there is a significant relationship between the variables under investigation. The findings suggest that the proposed model is a good fit for the data. Further research is needed to explore the underlying mechanisms of the observed relationships.

The author would like to thank the following individuals for their assistance and support during the course of this project: [Name], [Name], and [Name]. The research was supported by the [Organization Name] grant.

PROPOSED ORDER

WHEREFORE, this 4th day of February, 2021, Panel B of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission approves the Recommended Order of the Administrative Law Judge and unless any parties files with the Commission within twenty (20) days of this date written exceptions and/or a request to present arguments, then this Proposed Order will become final at the end of the twenty (20) day period. By law the parties then have an additional thirty (30) day period during which they may file an appeal to Circuit Court.

Joseph Tunney

Joseph Tunney

Chairman

Panel B

***MARYLAND HOME IMPROVEMENT
COMMISSION***

**IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF * MARYLAND HOME
KATHLEEN NOEL * IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION
AGAINST THE MARYLAND HOME *
IMPROVEMENT GUARANTY FUND * MHIC CASE NO. 18(75)1116
FOR THE ACTS OR OMISSIONS OF * OAH CASE NO. LABOR-HIC-
PHILIP CALVERT T/A CALVERT * 02-19-289894
QUALITY CONSTRUCTION ***

* * * * *

FINAL ORDER

This matter was originally heard before an Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) of the Office of Administrative Hearings (“OAH”) on September 28, 2020. Following the evidentiary hearing, the ALJ issued a Proposed Decision on November 17, 2020, concluding that the homeowner, Kathleen Noel (“Claimant”) suffered a compensable actual loss as a result of the acts or omissions of Philip Calvert t/a Calvert Quality Construction (“Contractor”). *ALJ Proposed Decision* p. 10. In a Proposed Order dated February 4, 2021, the Maryland Home Improvement Commission (“MHIC” or “Commission”) affirmed the Proposed Decision of the ALJ to grant an award from the Home Improvement Guaranty Fund. The Contractor subsequently filed exceptions to the MHIC Proposed Order.

On April 1, 2021, a three-member panel (“Panel”) of the MHIC held a remote hearing on the exceptions filed in this matter. The Claimant and Contractor participated without counsel. Assistant Attorney General Justin Dunbar appeared at the exceptions hearing on behalf of the Guaranty Fund. The Commission entered the following preliminary exhibits as part of the record of the exceptions hearing without objection: 1) hearing notice; 2) transmittal letter, ALJ Proposed Decision, and MHIC Proposed Order; and 3) Contractor’s exceptions. Neither the Claimant nor the Contractor produced a copy of the transcript of the hearing before the ALJ. Therefore, the Panel’s review of the record was limited to the preliminary exhibits for the exceptions hearing, the OAH Proposed Decision, and the exhibits admitted as evidence at the OAH hearing. COMAR

09.01.03.09(G) - (I).

The claim in this proceeding relates to a contract between the parties for painting, trim work, and the removal of a knee wall at the Claimant's home. The ALJ found that the Contractor's performance under the contract was unworkmanlike, inadequate, and incomplete. *ALJ's Proposed Decision* pp. 7-8.

On exception, the Contractor, who did not attend the OAH hearing, stated that he missed the OAH hearing because his father put the wrong date for the hearing on his calendar. The Contractor sought to present evidence at a hearing in response to the Claimant's claim.

The Contractor admits, and the record demonstrates, that he received proper notice of the OAH hearing, and error on the part of the Contractor's father regarding the hearing date does not warrant a rehearing in this proceeding. The Commission finds no error with the ALJ's Proposed Decision and, therefore, shall affirm.

Having considered the parties' arguments, the evidence contained in the record, and the ALJ's Recommended Decision, it is this 5th day of April 2021, **ORDERED:**

- A. That the Findings of Fact of the Administrative Law Judge are **AFFIRMED**;
- B. That the Conclusions of Law of the Administrative Law Judge are **AFFIRMED**;
- C. That the Proposed Decision and Recommended Order of the Administrative Law Judge is **AFFIRMED**;
- D. That the Claimant is awarded \$717.41 from the Maryland Home Improvement Guaranty Fund;
- E. That the Contractor shall remain ineligible for a Maryland Home Improvement Commission license until the Contractor reimburses the Guaranty Fund for all monies disbursed under this Order plus annual interest of at least ten percent (10%) as set by the

Commission, *Md Code Ann.*, Bus. Reg. §§ 8-410(a)(1)(iii), 8-411(a);

- F. That the records and publications of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission shall reflect this decision; and
- G. Any party has thirty (30) days from the date of this Final Order to appeal this decision to Circuit Court.

Joseph Tunney
Chairperson –Panel
Maryland Home Improvement
Commission

At the same time, the Commission is also aware of the fact that...

The Commission is also aware of the fact that the Commission is also aware of the fact that...

the Commission is also aware of the fact that...

The Commission is also aware of the fact that the Commission is also aware of the fact that...

the Commission is also aware of the fact that...

the Commission is also aware of the fact that...